arXiv:1611.07354v1 [math.AC] 22 Nov 2016

ON THE DIAMETER OF HOCHSTER-HUNEKE GRAPHS OF STANLEY-REISNER RINGS WITH SERRE (S_2) PROPERTY AND HIRSCH TYPE BOUNDS ON ABSTRACTIONS OF POLYTOPES

BRENT HOLMES

Abstract. Let R be a Noetherian commutative ring of positive dimension. The Hochster-Huneke graph of R (sometimes called the dual graph of $\operatorname{Spec} R$ and denoted by $\mathcal{G}(R)$) is defined as follows: the vertices are the minimal prime ideals of R, and the edges are the pairs of prime ideals (P_1, P_2) with $\operatorname{ht}(P_1 + P_2) = 1$. If R satisfies Serre's property (S_2), then $\mathcal{G}(R)$ is connected. In this note, we provide lower and upper bounds for the maximum diameter of Hochster-Huneke graphs of Stanley-Reisner rings satisfying (S_2). These bounds depend on he number of variables and the dimension. Hochster-Huneke graphs of (S_2) Stanley-Reisner rings are a natural abstraction of the 1-skeletons of polyhedra. We discuss how our bounds imply new Hirsch-type bounds on 1-skeletons of polyhedra.

1. Introduction

The polynomial Hirsch conjecture states that a d-dimensional polyhedron with n facets has diameter bounded above by a polynomial expression in $n - d$. The diameter of a polyhedron is the diameter of its 1-skeleton. The polynomial Hirsch conjecture is a weakening of the Hirsch conjecture, which was disproved by Klee and Walkup [KW67] in the general case and Santos [San11] in the bounded case. For a history of the Hirsch and polynomial Hirsch conjectures, see [San13]. Many authors have examined the diameters of generalizations of polyhedra (e.g. [AD74, CS16, EHRR10, Kal92]). In this paper we consider generalizations of polyhedra whose 1-skeletons $\mathcal{G}$ have vertices that are subsets of size d of $\{1, 2, ..., n\}$, such that $\mathcal{G}$ has the following properties (see Section 1 of [EHRR10]):

(i) For each $u, v \in V(G)$ $\exists$ a path connecting u and v whose intermediate vertices all contain $u \cap v$.

(ii) The edge (u, v) is present if and only if $|u \cap v| = d - 1$.

Generalized polyhedra of this type have been considered in section 4.1 of [Kal92].

In this paper, we combine techniques from commutative algebra and combinatorics to prove bounds on the diameter of graphs with properties (i) and (ii). It is not widely known that a graph having properties (i) and (ii) is equivalent to that graph being the Hochster-Huneke graph of a Stanley-Reisner ring satisfying Serre's condition (S_2). We explore this connection repeatedly throughout the paper.

We define $\mu(d, n)$ to be the largest diameter of a Hochster-Huneke graph of an (S_2) Stanley-Reisner ring of dimension d and codimension $n - d$. One of the main results of this paper is the determination of the precise values of $\mu(d, n)$ for small n and d (see Table 1).

To that end, we first construct examples which demonstrate lower bounds. (See Figure 1-8). We also establish upper bounds for quite general n and d. For instance Theorem 4.1 shows

Date: November 23, 2016.

Key words and phrases. Simplicial complex, Hochster-Huneke graph, Stanley-Reisner ring, Serre condition, Hirsch Conjecture, polyhedra.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 05C12, 05E45, 13D02.

$\mu(3,n) \leq \max(2n-10, n-2)$. In Theorem 4.4, we prove $\mu(d,n) \leq 2^{d-2}(n-d)$, which improves on the bound of [EHRR10] (See Remark 4.5). In Theorem 4.8, we prove $\mu(d,n) \leq 3 \cdot 2^{\frac{n-d-5}{2}}(n-d)$. This result is derived using our bound from Theorem 4.4.

TABLE 1. $\mu(d,n)$ for small n and d

	d=2	d=3	d=4
n=6	4	4	2
n=7	5	5	3
n=8	6	6	6
n=9	7	7	7
n=10+	$n-2$	$\geq n-1$	$\geq n-2$

In Section 6 of [San11], Santos builds arbitrarily large complexes whose diameters exceed the Hirsch bound by a fixed fraction. This is achieved by using a *gluing lemma* from [HK98], which states that two d-dimensional polytopes, P_1, P_2, can be glued together yielding a new polytope P with $\operatorname{diam} P \geq \operatorname{diam} P_1 + \operatorname{diam} P_2 - 1$. We present Theorem 5.2, an algebraic analogue to the gluing lemma. This theorem tells us that two $d-1$-dimensional complexes with (S_ℓ) rings (we shall call these (S_ℓ) complexes) glued together along a pure, $(S_{\ell-1})$ subcomplex of dimension at least $d-2$ yield an (S_ℓ) complex. The proof of Theorem 5.2 is achieved using local cohomology and local duality.

Applying Theorem 5.2, we are able to construct complexes whose Stanley-Reisner rings have arbitrarily large Hochster-Huneke graphs which (with proper labeling) have properties (i) and (ii). For appropriate complexes Δ and Δ',

$$\operatorname{diam} G(k[\Delta]) + \operatorname{diam} G(k[\Delta']) = \operatorname{diam} G(k[\Delta \cup \Delta']).$$

Gluing multiple copies of examples from the small n and d cases together, we construct arbitrarily large graphs with properties (i) and (ii) in dimensions 3 and 4 with diameters $\frac{5}{4}(n-d)$ and $\frac{3}{2}(n-d)$ respectively (see Theorem 6.1, Theorem 6.3). We show graphs with properties (i) and (ii) and diameter $\frac{3}{2}(n-d)$ can be constructed for all $d \geq 4$ (see Remark 6.6).

Introduction of terms is covered in Section 2.1. In Section 2.2, we demonstrate that a graph having properties (i) and (ii) is equivalent to that graph being the Hochster-Huneke graph of a Stanley-Reisner ring satisfying Serre's condition (S_2). In Section 3, we show the details that lead to Table 1 and investigate the relationship between (S_2) and Buchsbaum. In Section 4, we prove the upper bounds introduced earlier in this section. Details of the process of gluing to preserve (S_2) are discussed in Section 5, and constructions of glued complexes are discussed in Section 6 with examples displayed in Figures 7 and 8.

2. Background and Notation

One of the initial challenges of this project is the variety of equivalent conditions in the literature. We will now remind the reader of these equivalent definitions.

2.1. **Introduction of Terms.**

Definition 2.1. The 1-*skeleton of a polyhedron* is the set of vertices and edges of the polyhedron .

A polyhedron whose vertices are the intersection of d of its facets is non-degenerate. Any polyhedron can be transformed into a non-degenerate polyhedron by perturbation without decreasing its diameter [EHRR10]. Therefore, we may restrict our attention to non-degenerate polyhedra.

Definition 2.2. A *pure* simplicial complex is a simplicial complex whose facets all have the same dimension.

We remind the reader that a $(d-1)$-dimensional simplicial complex has a d-dimensional Stanley-Reisner ring.

Let k be a field and $S = k[x_1, ..., x_n]$. Let Δ be a pure, $(d-1)$-dimensional simplicial complex with Stanley-Reisner ring, $R = S/I$, where I is the intersection of the minimal prime ideals P_i of R. Let $\{F_i\}$ be the facets of Δ. With proper numbering, P_i is generated by $\{x_j | x_j \notin F_i\}$ [FMS14]. Thus purity of Δ is equivalent to each P_i being generated by $n-d$ distinct variables.

Definition 2.3 ([HH94])**.** Let $\mathcal{G}(R)$ be the graph with $V(\mathcal{G}(R)) = \{v_i = \Pi x_j\}$ where the x_j's generate P_i, $E(\mathcal{G}(R)) = \{(v_j, v_k) | \operatorname{ht}(P_j + P_k) = 1\}$. Then $\mathcal{G}(R)$ is the *Hochster-Huneke graph* of R.

Often, this type of graph is constructed in a more general setting, applying to schemes. In this case, the graph is called the dual graph (e.g. [BBV15]). In this paper, we consider Hochster-Huneke graphs of Stanley-Reisner rings. It should be noted that not every graph is a Hochster-Huneke graph of a Stanley-Reisner ring (see Proposition 3.5 of [BBV15]).

Definition 2.4. Let Δ be a simplicial complex on $\{1, 2, \cdots, n\}$. The *Alexander dual* of Δ is

$$\Delta^\vee = \{F \subseteq \{1, 2, \cdots, n\} : \{1, 2, \cdots, n\} \backslash F \notin \Delta\}.$$

Let S/I be the Stanley-Reisner ring of Δ, $S/I^\vee$ be the Stanley-Reisner ring of $\Delta^\vee$. We refer to $I^\vee$ as the Alexander dual of I. The Alexander dual of I is generated by the product of the generators of each minimal prime ideal of I [FMS14].

Example 2.5. Let $S = k[x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, x_6]$ and

$I = \langle x_1x_3x_5, x_1x_3x_6, x_1x_4x_5, x_1x_4x_6, x_2x_3x_5, x_2x_3x_6, x_2x_4x_5, x_2x_4x_6\rangle = \langle x_1, x_2\rangle \cap \langle x_3, x_4\rangle \cap \langle x_5, x_6\rangle$.

Then $I^\vee = \langle x_1x_2, x_3x_4, x_5x_6\rangle$.

Remark 2.6. We notice that the vertices of $G(S/I)$ are in one to one correspondence with the generators of $I^\vee$. Also, each vertex is comprised of $n-d$ variables. Finally, notice that by definition every Hochster-Huneke graph has property (ii).

Definition 2.7. Given a graph, $\mathcal{G}(R)$, we define $\bar{\mathcal{G}}(R)$, to be the graph with $V(\bar{\mathcal{G}}(R)) = \{\bar{v}_i = \frac{x_1 \cdot x_2 \cdot \ldots \cdot x_n}{v_i}\}$ where $\{v_i\} = V(\mathcal{G}(R))$ and $E(\bar{\mathcal{G}}(R)) = \{\bar{v}_i, \bar{v}_j\}$ where $E(\mathcal{G}(R)) = \{v_i, v_j\}$.

Remark 2.8. $\bar{\mathcal{G}}(R)$ is the facet-ridge graph of the complex with Stanley-Reisner ring R.

Definition 2.9. A graph $\bar{\mathcal{G}}(R)$ is *locally connected* if for any two vertices, $\bar{v}_i, \bar{v}_j \in \bar{\mathcal{G}}(R)$, there exists a path between them, such that each vertex in the path contains $\bar{v}_i \cap \bar{v}_j$.

Locally connected is also referred to as ultra connected in [Kal92].

Remark 2.10. Locally connected graphs are commonly referred to as *normal* graphs in combinatorial circles. Normality of a graph is a notion unrelated to normality of a ring. To avoid this confusion we use the name "locally connected," which has been motivated by Theorem 2.15, which connects this property to localization of the ring R.

Remark 2.11. $\bar{\mathcal{G}}(R)$ is merely a relabeling of $\mathcal{G}(R)$, thus $\bar{\mathcal{G}}(R)$ retains property (ii) and has vertices which are comprised of d variables. Being locally connected is equivalent to $\bar{\mathcal{G}}(R)$ having property (i). Every 1-skeleton of a non-degenerate, d-dimensional polyhedron is a graph with vertices uniquely determined by the d facets that contain them. Furthermore, every 1-skeleton of a non-degenerate polyhedra has properties (i) and (ii) [EHRR10]. Therefore, the class of locally connected Hochster-Huneke graphs of equidimensional Stanley-Reisner rings is an abstraction of the 1-skeletons of non-degenerate polyhedra.

2.2. **The (S_ℓ) condition.** We have shown graphs with properties (i) and (ii) from [EHRR10] are an abstraction of 1-skeletons of non-degenerate polyhedra. Ensuring a graph satisfies property (ii) is not difficult. Using Serre's condition and syzygy matrices, we demonstrate a simple method to ensure a Hochster-Huneke graph of an (S_2) Stanley-Reisner ring satisfies property (i).

Definition 2.12. A ring satisfies *Serre's* (S_ℓ) *condition* if for all P in $\operatorname{Spec} R$, $\operatorname{depth} R_P \geq \min\{\ell, \dim R_P\}$.

Definition 2.13. Let M be an R module with minimal generating set $\{z_1, z_2, ..., z_k\}$. A *first syzygy* of M is a non-zero vector $(a_1, ..., a_k) \in R^k$ such that $a_1z_1 + ... + a_kz_k = 0$. A *first syzygy matrix* of a module is a matrix whose columns span all the first syzygies of that module.

Theorem 2.14 (Yanagawa)**.** *The Stanley-Reisner ring $R = S/I$ satisfies (S_2) if and only if $I^\vee$ has a first syzygy matrix with only linear entries.*

This theorem is true by Corollary 3.7 in [Yan00]. Since checking the linearity of a first syzygy matrix can be easily done computationally, this theorem provides us with a simple way to check if a Stanley-Reisner ring satisfies (S_2). Now we connect (S_2) to our locally connected condition. We will use local duality, the ext module and the cohomology module. For background on these topics, see a Homological Algebra Text (e.g. [Wei94]).

Theorem 2.15. *Let R be a Stanley-Reisner ring. The following are equivalent:*

(1) R satisfies (S_2).
(2) For any localization at a prime ideal P generated by variables, $\mathcal{G}(R_P)$ is connected.
(3) $\bar{\mathcal{G}}(R)$ is locally connected.

Proof. (2) $\Leftrightarrow$ (3): Let S be a subset of $\{x_1, ...x_n\}$. Let $\bar{\mathcal{G}}(R)_S$ be the subgraph of $\bar{\mathcal{G}}(R)$ with

$$V(\bar{\mathcal{G}}(R)_S) = \{v \in V(\bar{\mathcal{G}}(R)) | x_i \in v \text{ for all } x_i \in S\}.$$

$\bar{\mathcal{G}}(R)$ is locally connected if and only if $\bar{\mathcal{G}}(R)_S$ is connected for any choice of S. By the definition of $\bar{\mathcal{G}}(R)$, $\bar{\mathcal{G}}(R)_S$ is a relabeling of a subgraph of $\mathcal{G}(R)$ whose vertices are the minimal prime ideals of R contained in P, the prime generated by $\{x_j | x_j \notin S\}$. This subgraph is $\mathcal{G}(R_P)$. Therefore $\mathcal{G}(R_P)$ connected for all primes generated by variables is equivalent to $\bar{\mathcal{G}}(R)$ being locally connected.

(1) $\Rightarrow$ (2): Suppose $\mathcal{G}(R_P)$ is not connected for some nonempty set of primes generated by variables in $\operatorname{Spec} R$. Note that every prime in this set must have height at least 2. Let us choose any prime P in this set such that P is a maximal element of the set. If $\mathcal{G}(R_P)$ is not connected then $\bar{\mathcal{G}}(R_P)$ is not connected. Suppose $\bar{\mathcal{G}}(R_P)$ contains two distinct components each of which contain $x_i \in \operatorname{Supp} R_P$. Then $\bar{\mathcal{G}}(R_P)$ can be localized at $S = x_i$ and we will retain a disconnected graph. Since this localization is at a prime ideal generated by variables, this is a contradiction to the maximality of P. Therefore, each connected component of $\bar{\mathcal{G}}(R_P)$ is composed of disjoint sets of variables. The vertices of $\bar{\mathcal{G}}(R_P)$, however, represent the facets of the simplicial complex, Δ_{R_P}, whose Stanley-Reisner ring is R_P. Therefore, we have that Δ_{R_P} is not connected, which implies $H^1_{PR_P}(R_P) \neq 0$. Thus $\operatorname{depth} R_P \leq 1$, however $\operatorname{ht} P \geq 2$ and thus $\dim R_P \geq 2$. Thus, R is not (S_2).

(1) $\Leftarrow$ (2): Using local duality, we have a ring, R, satisfies (S_2) if and only if $\dim \operatorname{Ext}_S^{n-i}(R, \omega_S) \leq i-2$ for all $i < d$ (see Lemma 5.1). From [Yan00], we have that R is a Stanley-Reisner ring implies that $\operatorname{Ext}_S^{n-i}(R, \omega_S)$ is a square-free module. Thus $\operatorname{Ext}_S^{n-i}(R, \omega_S)$ is uniquely determined by its primes generated by variables. Thus to check its dimension, we need only consider the primes generated by variables. The dimension of $\operatorname{Ext}_S^{n-i}(R, \omega_S)$ determines if R satisfies (S_2). Therefore, we only need consider primes generated by variables when showing R satisfies (S_2).

If $\mathcal{G}(R_P)$ is connected for all primes generated by variables, then Δ_{R_P} is connected for all primes generated by variables with height at least 2, and Δ_{R_P} is connected for all primes generated by

variables with height at least 2 if and only if $H^1_{PR_P}(R_P) = 0$ for all primes generated by variables with height at least 2. Further, $H^0_{PR_P}(R_P) = 0$ for all primes, since R is a Stanley-Reisner ring. Thus depth $R_P \geq 2$ for all primes generated by variables. Thus R satisfies (S_2). □

Corollary 2.16. *Given a graph, $\mathcal{G}$, with each vertex labeled with the same number of variables the following are equivalent:*

(1) $\mathcal{G}$ has properties (i) and (ii) from [EHRR10].
(2) $\mathcal{G}$ is $\bar{\mathcal{G}}(R)$ wtih R an equidimensional Stanley-Reisner ring, and $\mathcal{G}$ has the locally connected property.
(3) $\mathcal{G}$ is $\bar{\mathcal{G}}(R)$ wtih R an (S_2) Stanley-Reisner ring.
(4) $\mathcal{G}$ is $\bar{\mathcal{G}}(R)$ wtih $R = S/I$ an equidimensional Stanley-Reisner ring such that the Alexander dual of I, $I^\vee$, has first syzygy matrix with all linear entries.

Proof. (1) ⇒ (2): Let each vertex label of $\mathcal{G}$ be a facet of a pure simplicial complex, Δ. Let the Stanley-Reisner ring of Δ be R. Then $\mathcal{G}$ has the same vertex set as $\bar{\mathcal{G}}(R)$. Property (ii) implies that $\mathcal{G}$ has the same edge set as the Hochster-Huneke graph of R. Property (i) is equivalent to locally connected.

(1) ⇐ (2): Property (ii) is required by the definition of a Hochster-Huneke graph. Property (i) is precisely the same as the locally connected condition.

(2) ⇔ (3): By Theorem 2.15, $\bar{\mathcal{G}}(R)$ is locally connected if and only if R satisfies (S_2).

(3) ⇔ (4): By Theorem 2.15, $R = S/I$ satisfies (S_2) if and only if $I^\vee$ has first syzygy matrix with all linear entries. □

3. Constructing Graphs to Identify Lower Bounds

In this section we construct lower bounds on the maximum diameters of Hochster-Huneke graphs of equidimensional Stanley-Reisner rings satisfying (S_2) with fixed n and d. We achieve this by constructing graphs with properties (i) and (ii). Let $\mu(d, n)$ be the largest diameter of a Hochster-Huneke graph of an equidimensional, (S_2) Stanley-Reisner ring of dimension d and codimension $n - d$.

Theorem 3.1. *Table 1 (see Introduction) presents $\mu(d, n)$ for small values of d and n.*

This theorem is proved by the propositions of this section.

Proposition 3.2. $\mu(2, n) = n - 2$.

Proof. R satisfies (S_2) if and only if $\bar{\mathcal{G}}(R)$ is locally connected. In the $d = 2$ case, locally connected is equivalent to connected. Thus we construct a connected graph. Create a vertex $v_1 = x_1x_2$. We wish to create another vertex v_2 adjacent to v_1. Without loss of generality, $v_2 = x_1x_3$. Any vertex not adjacent to v_1 but adjacent to v_2 must be of the form x_3x_i $(i \neq 1, 2, 3)$. Thus we may choose $v_3 = x_3x_4$. Continuing this process, we see that $\mu(2, n)$ is bounded above by the number of variables in R which are not contained in the first vertex. We also see that this construction yields a graph of diameter $n - 2$. Thus $\mu(2, n) = n - 2$. Figure 1 shows a graph with properties (i) and (ii) of diameter 3 when $n = 5, d = 2$. □

Figure 1

Proposition 3.3. $\mu(3, 6) = 3$.

Proof. Let us consider any distinct pair of vertices, $v_1, v_2 \in \bar{\mathcal{G}}(R)$. If $\deg(v_1 \cap v_2) = 2$ then v_1, v_2 are adjacent. If $\deg(v_1 \cap v_2) = 1$, then the locally connected property of the graph requires that there exists a path from v_1 to v_2 such that each vertex in the path contains $v_1 \cap v_2$. Thus applying Proposition 3.2, the distance between these two vertices is bounded above by $\mu(2,5) = 3$. If $\deg(v_1 \cap v_2) = 0$, then every vertex is either adjacent to v_1 or adjacent to v_2. Therefore $\mu(3,6) \leq 3$. Adding F to every vertex label in Figure 1 produces a diameter-3 graph with properties (i) and (ii) with $d = 3$, $n = 6$. Therefore $\mu(3,6) = 3$. □

Corollary 3.4. $\mu(d, d+3) = 3$.

Proof. Let R be a codimension-3, (S_2) Stanley-Reisner ring. Take $v_1, v_2 \in V(\bar{\mathcal{G}}(R))$. Then, v_1, v_2 will each contain $n-3$ variables, and $v_1 \cap v_2$ will contain at least $n-6$ variables. Thus there must be a path from v_1 to v_2 in which each vertex in that path contains those $n-6$ shared variables. Thus $\mu(d, d+3) \leq \mu(3,6)$. Furthermore, we may take the graph in Figure 6 and add the same $d-3$ variables to each vertex to show $\mu(d, d+3) \geq 3$. □

Proposition 3.5. $\mu(3,7) = 5$.

Proof. Figure 2 is an example of a diameter-5 graph with properties (i) and (ii) with $d = 3$, $n = 7$. Thus $\mu(3,7) \geq 5$. In Theorem 4.1, we will prove $\mu(3,7) \leq 5$. □



Figure 2

To see that $\bar{\mathcal{G}}(R)$ is locally connected, we take any subset of variables S and check that the vertices containing S form a connected subgraph. Below, we color the variables containing E red.



Figure 3: The connected subgraph of vertices containing E.

Proposition 3.6. $\mu(3,8) = 6$.

Proof. Figure 4 is an example of a diameter-6 graph with properties (i) and (ii) with $d = 3$, $n = 8$. Thus $\mu(3,8) \geq 6$. In Theorem 4.1, we will prove $\mu(3,8) \leq 6$. □

We can construct a graph of maximum diameter for $n = 8$ by appending the vertex DEH to Figure 3.



Figure 4

Proposition 3.7. $\mu(3,9) = 7$.

Proof. We can prove that there does not exist $\bar{\mathcal{G}}(R)$, such that R is an (S_2) Stanley-Reisner ring, $n = 9$, $d = 3$, and $\operatorname{diam}(\bar{\mathcal{G}}(R)) > 7$. Due to length, this proof has been omitted from the paper but can be found at: `http://www.math.ku.edu/~b101h187/`. The graph in Figure 4 with the vertex EHI appended is a diameter-7 graph with properties (i) and (ii) when $n = 9, d = 3$. □

Proposition 3.6 gives a bound only one better than the general upper bound given in Theorem 4.1.

Proposition 3.8. $\mu(3,10) \geq 9$.

Proof. Figure 5 is an example of a diameter-9 graph with properties (i) and (ii) with $d = 3$, $n = 10$. □

Proposition 3.7 gives a bound only one better than the bound of Theorem 4.1.



Figure 5

Proposition 3.9. $\mu(3,n) \geq n - d + 2$ *for all* $n \geq 10$.

Proof. We can construct an example of a diameter-$(n - d + 2)$ graph with properties (i) and (ii) with $d = 3$, $n = 10 + j$ by taking the graph in Figure 5 and appending the vertices:

$$IJx_1, Jx_1x_2, x_1x_2x_3, \cdots, x_{j-2}x_{j-1}x_j.$$

□

It is of interest that all of these complexes are Buchsbaum. The following is likely known to experts, but we include a proof here.

Proposition 3.10. *Let $R = S/I$ be an equidimensional Stanley-Reisner ring of dimension* 3. *Then R is connected and Buchsbaum if and only if R satisfies (S_2).*

Proof. Let Δ be a complex with Stanley-Reisner ring $R = S/I$. Let m be the unique homogeneous maximal ideal of S. Using local duality (see Lemma 5.1), we have that a 3-dimensional equidimensional Stanley-Reisner ring, R, satisfies (S_2) if and only if

$$\dim \operatorname{Ext}_S^{n-i}(R, \omega_S) \leq i - 2 \quad \text{for all } i < 3.$$

Thus, R satisfies (S_2) if and only if $H^0_m(R) = H^1_m(R) = 0$ and $H^2_m(R)$ is finitely generated.

Suppose R is Buchsbaum and connected. Connectivity implies $H^0_m(R) = H^1_m(R) = 0$. If R is Buchsbaum then R is Generalized Cohen-Macaulay, which implies that $H^i_m(R)$ is finitely generated

for all $i < d$. Thus for R an equidimensional Stanley-Reisner ring of dimension 3, Buchsbaum and connected imply (S_2).

Suppose now that R satisfies (S_2). We will use the combinatorial definition of Buchsbaum, which says a complex is Buchsbaum if it is pure and has the property that the link of any non-empty face has zero reduced homology except possibly in top dimension.

We first note the Stanley-Reisner ring of Δ satisfying (S_2) implies Δ is pure and connected. Next we note, every link of a non-empty face of Δ has Stanley-Reisner ring R_P, where P is a prime ideal and $\dim R_P < \dim R$. Thus R being a 3-dimensional, (S_2) ring implies R_P is Cohen-Macaulay for all P such that $\dim R_P < \dim R$. Thus R_P has zero reduced homology except possibly in top dimension. Thus R is Buchsbaum and connected. □

Note that this theorem does not apply in the higher dimension cases. In fact, most of our examples in higher dimension, including Figure 6 below, are not Buchsbaum.

Proposition 3.11. $\mu(4, 8) = 6$.

Proof. Figure 6 is an example of a diameter-6 graph with propeties (i) and (ii) with $d = 4$, $n = 8$. We will see in Corollary 4.2 that $\mu(4, 8)$ is at most 6. □



Figure 6

Proposition 3.12. $\mu(4, 9) = 7$.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{G}$ be a graph with properties (i) and (ii) and diameter at least 8. First let us consider two vertices with maximum distance in $\mathcal{G}$. If the intersection of these vertices is non-trivial, their distance is bounded above by $\mu(3, 8) = 6$. Thus, the vertices of maximal distance in $\mathcal{G}$ must have trivial intersection. Call them $ABCD$ and $FGHI$.

Suppose there exists a $v \in V(\mathcal{G})$ such that v is adjacent to $ABCD$, and v contains F, G, H or I. The shortest path from v to $FGHI$ will be bounded above by $\mu(3, 8) = 6$ and thus $\mathcal{G}$ will have diameter at most 7. Thus no such vertex is contained in $\mathcal{G}$. Simillarly no vertex containing A, B, C or D adjacent to $FGHI$ is contained in $\mathcal{G}$.

Connectivity of $\mathcal{G}$ requires $\mathcal{G}$ have at least one vertex adjacent to $ABCD$ and at least one vertex adjacent to $FGHI$. These vertices must both contain the only variable which is not in $ABCD$ or $FGHI$, call this variable E. Since $\mathcal{G}$ is locally connected, $\mathcal{G}$ must contain a connected subgraph composed only of the vertices containing E. Every vertex containing E will also contain two variables from either $ABCD$ or $FGHI$. Take such a vertex, $ABEF$. Then $\mathcal{G}$ must have a connected subgraph made up of only the vertices containing AB. However, we already have that any vertex adjacent to $ABCD$ must contain E. Thus $ABEF$ must have shortest path length at most 2 from $ABCD$. Any vertex containing E is distance at most 2 from $ABCD$ or $FGHI$. Thus $\mathcal{G}$ is at most diameter 5. Thus we have a contradiction. Thus no diameter-8 graph with properties (i) and (ii) exists.

To construct a diameter-7 graph with properties (i) and (ii), take the graph in Figure 6 and append the vertex $EFGI$. □

Proposition 3.13. *$\mu(4, n) \geq n - d + 2$ for all $n \geq 8$.*

Proof. We can construct an example of a diameter-$(n - d + 2)$ graph with propeties (i) and (ii) with $d = 4$, $n = 8 + j$ by taking the graph in Figure 6 and appending the vertices

$$EFGx_1, FGx_1x_2, \cdots x_{j-3}x_{j-2}x_{j-1}x_j.$$

□

4. Upper Bounds

In this section we prove upper bounds for the diameters of Hochster-Huneke graphs of (S_2) Stanley-Reisner rings. This is achieved by working with $\bar{\mathcal{G}}(R)$ (see Section 2).

Theorem 4.1. *$\mu(3, n) \leq \max(2n - 10, n - 2)$.*

Proof. We construct this upper bound in a manner inspired by [EHRR10]. Let R be an (S_2) Stanley-Reisner ring, such that $\bar{\mathcal{G}}(R)$ has vertices ABC, DEF with maximum distance in the graph. Let us assign to each vertex v the integer $\operatorname{dist}(ABC, v)$, where $\operatorname{dist}(ABC, v)$ denotes the length of the shortest path from ABC to v. We define layers $L_i = \{v \in V(\bar{\mathcal{G}}(R)) | \operatorname{dist}(ABC, v) = i\}$.

Define a block of layers to be a set of layers $\{L_i | a \leq i \leq b\}$ for some integers a, b. Let c be the largest integer such that L_c contains A, B, or C. Let $B_1 = \{L_i | 0 \leq i \leq c\}$. Let n_0 be the number of variables in B_1. Without loss of generality, A is contained in L_c. By the locally connected property, there exists a path consisting of vertices which all contain A from L_0 to L_c. This path can have maximum length $n_0 - 3$ (the $d = 2$, $n = n_0 - 1$ case).

Next, we construct a second block. Let d be the largest integer such that L_d contains a variable of L_c; call this variable a_1. Let $B_2 = \{L_i | c < i \leq d\}$. Let n_1 be the number of variables in B_2 but not in B_1. The diameter of this layer will be bounded by the maximum length of a path in which each vertex contains a_1. This path will have maximum length of $n_1 + n_0 - 3 - 3$ (the second -3 is to account for the fact that A, B, C cannot be in the layers of this block).

Construct the third block, B_3, in the same way. Its longest path will have maximal length $n_2 + n_1 - 3 - 3$. By construction, B_3 cannot have any elements in common with B_1. Also, B_3 does not contain any variables in the $c + 1$ layer (there are at least 3 such variables).

Continue in this manner.

We sum the lengths of the blocks and add 1 for each path between blocks to obtain:

$2n_0 + 2n_1 + \cdots + 2n_{k-2} + n_{k-1} - 5(k - 2) - 8$ where k is the number of blocks.

$k \geq 3$ implies $\operatorname{diam} \bar{\mathcal{G}}(R) \leq 2n - 13$.

$k = 1$ implies $n_0 = n$ and $\operatorname{diam} \bar{\mathcal{G}}(R) \leq n - 3$.

Let $k = 2$. If ADE, ADF, or AEF is a vertex, then our path containing A has length at most $n - 3$, and some vertex in that path is adjacent to DEF. Therefore, $\operatorname{diam} \bar{\mathcal{G}}(R) \leq n - 2$.

Define $L_{x_i} = j$ where L_j is the largest layer containing the vertex x_i. Let $L_A \geq L_B \geq L_C$. Let $i^* = \min\{i | AD \subseteq v \in L_i\} \leq \min\{i | AE \subseteq v \in L_i\} \leq \min\{i | AF \subseteq v \in L_i\}$. Denote the vertex containing AD in L_{i^*} to be v^* (if more than one exists choose any such one). Let a strictly increasing path be a path such that each subsequent vertex in the path has larger distance from ABC.

Consider the case $L_A = n - 3$. To maximize i^*, we must have a path with tail:

$$Ax_iD, Ax_jD, Ax_jE, Ax_kE, Ax_kF.$$

Thus the path from ABC to v^* must have length at most $n-7$. The maximum length from v^* to DEF is $n-3$. Thus, $L_D \leq 2n-10$.

Consider the case where $L_A = n-4$. Take $v \in L_{n-4}$ such that $A \in v$ and construct a path from ABC to v. If the path contains AD, AE, and AF, then we can use the argument above. If this path does not contain one of those, say AF, then $i^* \leq n-6$. If $i^* = n-6$, then $L_A \geq L_B \geq L_C$ implies any strictly increasing path from v^* to DEF of vertices all containing D cannot have a vertex containing B and a vertex containing C. Thus $L_D \leq i^* + n - 4 = 2n-10$. Consider $i^* < n-6$. Any path from v^* to DEF will be at most length $n-3$. Thus $L_D \leq 2n-10$.

Now suppose $L_A \leq n-5$. Then $i^* \leq n-5$. Further, $B, C \notin L_j$ for all $j \geq n-5$. Thus the path from v^* to DEF has maximal length $n-3-2$. Thus $L_D \leq 2n-10$.

□

Corollary 4.2. $\mu(4,8) \leq 6$.

Proof. Let us consider $v_1, v_2 \in \bar{\mathcal{G}}(R)$. If $v_1 \cap v_2 \neq \emptyset$ then we can reduce to the $n=7$, $d=3$ case, and thus $\operatorname{dist}(v_1, v_2) \leq 5$. Otherwise, connectivity implies $\exists v_3$ adjacent to v_1. The vertex v_3 must have a non-trivial intersection with v_2, thus $\operatorname{dist}(v_2, v_3) \leq 5$. Thus $\operatorname{dist}(v_1, v_2) \leq 6$. □

Corollary 4.3. $\mu(d, d+4) = 6$.

Proof. Let R be a codimension-4, (S_2) Stanley-Reisner ring. Take $v_1, v_2 \in V(\bar{\mathcal{G}}(R))$. Then, v_1, v_2 will each contain $n-4$ variables, and $v_1 \cap v_2$ will contain at least $n-8$ variables. Thus there must be a path from v_1 to v_2 in which each vertex in that path contains those $n-8$ shared variables. Thus $\mu(d, d+4) \leq \mu(4,8)$. Furthermore, we may take the graph in Figure 6 and add the same $d-4$ variables to each vertex to show $\mu(d, d+4) \geq 6$. □

Let us now construct bounds for any values of n and d.

Theorem 4.4. $\mu(d,n) \leq 2^{d-2}(n-d)$.

Proof. $\mu(3,n) \leq 2n-6$ for all $n \geq d$, so the $d=3$ case holds. Thus we may begin induction on d. Let us partition the vertices of $\bar{\mathcal{G}}(R)$ into layers and blocks as in the proof of Theorem 4.1.

If $\bar{\mathcal{G}}(R)$ has 1 block, then there is a variable which is contained in each layer of the graph. Thus $\operatorname{diam} \bar{\mathcal{G}}(R) \leq \mu(d-1, n-1)$. But by induction $\mu(d-1, n-1) \leq 2^{d-3}(n-d) \leq 2^{d-2}(n-d)$.

Now suppose we have multiple blocks. Then the first block will be bound in diameter by $\mu(d-1, n_0-1)$, where n_0 is the number of variables in the block. The second block will be bounded in diameter by $\mu(d-1, n_0+n_1-d-1)$. The third block will be bound by $\mu(d-1, n_1+n_2-d-1)$, and so on. Using k for the number of blocks and using the induction hypothesis we get:

$$\mu(d,n) \leq 2^{d-3}(n_0-d)+1+2^{d-3}(n_1+n_0-d-1-(d-1))+1+2^{d-3}(n_2+n_1-d-1-(d-1))+1$$
$$+\cdots+2^{d-3}(n_{k-1}+n_{k-2}-d-1-(d-1)) \leq 2^{d-2}n-2^{d-3}(d+2d(k-1))+k-1 \leq$$
$$2^{d-2}n-2^{d-3}(3d)+1 \leq 2^{d-2}(n-d).$$

□

Remark 4.5. In [EHRR10], Eisenbrand et al. proved Larman's [Lar70] bound of $2^{d-1}n$ held for graphs with property (i). We have strengthened this bound for graphs with properties (i) and (ii). In [Lar70], Larman showed that $2^{d-3}n$ is an upper bound for the diameter of polytopes of dimension at least 3. In [Bar74], Barnette strengthened Larman's bound to $\frac{1}{3}2^{d-3}(n-d+\frac{5}{2})$. Our bound is slightly weaker than the bounds of Barnette and Larman, however, in the next section we will show by construction that the bounds of Barnette and Larman do not hold in our generality (see Theorem 6.3 and 6.5).

In [KW67], Klee and Walkup prove $\mu(d,n) \leq \mu(n-d, 2n-2d)$. This fact gives rise to *the d-step conjecture*, which states $\mu(d,2d) \leq d$ for all d. When $n = 2d$, $d = n - d$. Thus, the d-step conjecture is equivalent to the Hirsch conjecture. A natural generalization of the d-step conjecture is $\mu(d,2d) \leq p(d)$ where $p(d)$ is a polynomial in d. Again $d = n - d$, and thus we have that this conjecture is equivalent to the polynomial Hirsch conjecture.

We examine upper bounds on $\mu(d, d+k)$. We note $\mu(d, d+k) = \mu(k, 2k)$ by [KW67].

Theorem 4.6. $\mu(d, d+5) \leq 8$.

Proof. Choose any $v_1, v_2 \in \bar{\mathcal{G}}(R)$. From [KW67], we have $\mu(d,n) \leq \mu(n-d, 2n-2d)$. Thus we may reduce to the $d = 5$ case. Let $v_1 = ABCDE$. We will consider cases based on v_2 to deduce the bound on diameter.

If $v_2 = FGHIJ$, then without loss of generality, we will have $BCDEF$ and $CDEFG$ in $V(\bar{\mathcal{G}}(R))$. The vertices $CDEFG$ and $FGHIJ$ will have distance bounded by $\mu(3,8)$. Thus $\operatorname{dist}(CDEFG, FGHIJ) \leq 6$. Thus $\operatorname{dist}(v_1, v_2) \leq 8$.

If $v_2 = EFGHI$, then either $\bar{\mathcal{G}}(R)$ contains $ABCEF$ or $\bar{\mathcal{G}}(R)$ contains $ABCEJ$ and $ABEFJ$. For both scenarios, the graph will be bounded by two more than the $d = 3$, $n = 8$ case. Thus $\operatorname{dist}(v_1, v_2) \leq 8$.

If $\operatorname{degree}(v_1 \cap v_2) \geq 2$, then $\operatorname{dist}(v_1, v_2)$ is bounded by the $d = 3$, $n = 8$ case and is at most 6.

□

Theorem 4.7. $\mu(d, d+6) \leq 14$.

Proof. Choose any $v_1, v_2 \in \bar{\mathcal{G}}(R)$. As before, we can reduce to the $d = n - d$ case. Let $v_1 = ABCDEF$.

If $\operatorname{degree}(v_1 \cap v_2) \geq 3$, then $\operatorname{diam} \bar{\mathcal{G}}(R)$ is bounded by 7 (the $d = 3$ $n = 9$ case).

Now suppose $\operatorname{degree}(v_1 \cap v_2) \leq 2$. There must exist a vertex v_3 such that $\operatorname{degree}(v_3 \cap v_1) \geq 3$ and $\operatorname{degree}(v_3 \cap v_2) \geq 3$.

But then $\operatorname{dist}(v_1, v_3) \leq 7$, and $\operatorname{dist}(v_3, v_2) \leq 7$. Thus $\operatorname{dist}(v_1, v_2) \leq 14$.

□

Theorem 4.8.

$$\mu(d, d+k) \leq 3 \cdot 2^{\frac{n-d-5}{2}}(n-d).$$

Proof. We only need to consider the case $(n-d, 2(n-d))$.

We will first consider the case $n - d$ is even. In this case,

$$\mu(n-d, 2(n-d)) \leq 2\mu\left(\frac{1}{2}(n-d), \frac{3}{2}(n-d)\right) \leq 2(2^{\frac{n-d}{2}-2}(n-d)) = 2^{\frac{n-d-2}{2}}(n-d) \leq 3 \cdot 2^{\frac{n-d-5}{2}}(n-d).$$

Next we consider the case $n - d$ is odd. Then

$$\mu(n-d, 2(n-d)) \leq \mu\left(\left\lfloor \frac{n-d}{2} \right\rfloor, 2(n-d) - \left\lceil \frac{n-d}{2} \right\rceil\right) + \mu\left(\left\lceil \frac{n-d}{2} \right\rceil, 2(n-d) - \left\lfloor \frac{n-d}{2} \right\rfloor\right)$$

$$\leq 2^{\left\lfloor \frac{n-d}{2} \right\rfloor - 2}(n-d) + 2^{\left\lceil \frac{n-d}{2} \right\rceil - 2}(n-d) = 3 \cdot 2^{\frac{n-d-5}{2}}(n-d).$$

□

5. Gluing

In [San11], Santos uses a gluing lemma to construct polyhedra with arbitrarily many facets whose diameters exceed $n-d$ by a fixed fraction. We will construct an algebraic analogue to this gluing lemma, which will allow us to construct (S_ℓ) complexes with arbitrarily many facets whose diameters exceed $n-d$ by a fixed fraction.

By Remark 2.8, the facet-ridge graph of an (S_ℓ) complex is $\bar{\mathcal{G}}(R)$ where R is that complex's Stanley-Reisner ring. Thus by making these (S_2) complexes, we are making Hochster-Huneke graphs of Stanley-Reisner rings satisfying (S_2) with arbitrarily large n whose diameters exceed $n-d$ by a fixed fraction.

In this section, we will make use of the ext module $\operatorname{Ext}^i_S(R,S)$ and the cohomology module $H^i_{PR_P}(R_P)$. For background on these modules, see a text on Homological Algebra (e.g. [Wei94]). We can describe (S_ℓ) in terms of the dimension of the Ext module. This fact is key to the proof that proper gluing will maintain (S_ℓ). The following lemma appears without proof in [Vas05, Proposition 3.1].

Lemma 5.1. *Let Δ be a pure complex with Stanley-Reisner ring R. R satisfies (S_ℓ) if and only if*

$$\dim \operatorname{Ext}^{n-i}_S(R,\omega_S) \leq i-\ell \quad \text{for all } i<d.$$

Proof. We reconstruct the proof from [DHV16].

Suppose R satisfies (S_l). If $i<l\leq \operatorname{depth} R$ then $\operatorname{Ext}^{n-i}_S(R,S)=0$. Thus,

$$\dim \operatorname{Ext}^{n-i}_S(R,S) = -\infty,$$

and we are finished. Otherwise, let us take P a prime ideal of S containing I. Let h be the height of P. If $h<n-i+l$, then $h-n+i<l$. Further $\dim R_P = h-n+d > h-n+i$. Thus $\operatorname{depth} R_P > h-n+i$. Therefore,

$$0 = H^{(h-n+i)}_{PS_P}(R_P) \cong \operatorname{Ext}^{n-i}_{S_P}(R_P,S_P) \cong \operatorname{Ext}^{n-i}_S(R,S)_P \quad \text{for all } i=0,...,d-1.$$

So $P\notin \operatorname{Supp}\operatorname{Ext}^{n-i}_S(R,S)$ whenever $\operatorname{ht} P < n-(i-l)$. Thus $\dim \operatorname{Ext}^{n-i}_S(R,S)\leq i-l$.

Suppose $\dim \operatorname{Ext}^{n-i}_S(R,S)\leq i-l$ for all $i=0,...,d-1$. Let $\operatorname{ht} I = c$. Let V_h be the set of prime ideals of S of height h containing I for $h=c,...,n$. Then we have the following equivalences:

$$R \text{ satisfies } (S_l) \quad \Leftrightarrow$$

$$\operatorname{depth} R_P \geq \min\{l, h-c\} =: b \quad \text{for all } h=c,...,n, \text{ for all } P\in V_h \quad \Leftrightarrow$$

$$H^i_{PS_P}(R_P)=0 \quad \text{for all } h=c,...,n, \text{ for all } P\in V_h, \text{ for all } i<b \quad \Leftrightarrow$$

$$\operatorname{Ext}^{h-i}_{S_P}(R_P,S_P)=0 \text{ for all } h=c,...,n, \text{ for all } P\in V_h, \text{ for all } i<b \quad \Leftrightarrow$$

$$\dim \operatorname{Ext}^{h-i}_{S_P}(R_P,S_P) < n-h \text{ for all } h=c,...,n, \text{ for all } P\in V_h, \text{ for all } i<b.$$

When $i<b\leq h-c$, $n-h+i<n-c=d$. For all $i<d$, $\dim \operatorname{Ext}^{n-i}_S(R,S)\leq i-l$. Thus

$$\dim \operatorname{Ext}^{h-i}_S(R,S) = \dim \operatorname{Ext}^{n-(n-h+i)}_S(R,S) \leq n-h+i-l < n-h \text{ for all } h=c,\cdots,n, \text{ for all } i<b.$$

□

Theorem 5.2. *Let Δ and Δ' be $(d-1)$-dimensional complexes on n vertices whose Stanley-Reisner rings each satisfy (S_ℓ). The Stanley-Reisner ring of $\Delta \cup \Delta'$ satisfies (S_ℓ) if the two complexes are glued along a pure complex of dimension at least $d-2$ whose Stanley-Reisner ring satisfies $(S_{\ell-1})$.*

Proof. Let us use the notation R_Δ to refer to the Stanley-Reisner ring of Δ.

If $\ell = 1$, any gluing will preserve the (S_1) property, since every simplicial complex satisfies (S_1).

Thus let us consider $\ell \geq 2$, noting every (S_2) complex is pure [Yan00].

Take the short exact sequence

$$0 \to R_{\Delta\cup\Delta'} \to R_\Delta \oplus R_{\Delta'} \to R_{\Delta\cap\Delta'} \to 0.$$

Then take the long exact sequence in Ext:

$$\cdots \to \operatorname{Ext}_S^{n-i}(R_\Delta \oplus R_{\Delta'}, \omega_S) \to \operatorname{Ext}_S^{n-i}(R_{\Delta\cup\Delta'}, \omega_S) \to \operatorname{Ext}_S^{n-(i-1)}(R_{\Delta\cap\Delta'}, \omega_S) \to \cdots .$$

Since Ext is an additive functor:

$$\operatorname{Ext}_S^{n-i}(R_\Delta \oplus R_{\Delta'}, \omega_S) \cong \operatorname{Ext}_S^{n-i}(R_\Delta, \omega_S) \oplus \operatorname{Ext}_S^{n-i}(R_{\Delta'}, \omega_S).$$

Since R_Δ and $R\Delta'$ are (S_ℓ), Lemma 5.1 gives

$$\dim \operatorname{Ext}_S^{n-i}(R_\Delta, \omega_S) \leq i - \ell$$

and

$$\dim \operatorname{Ext}_S^{n-i}(R_{\Delta'}, \omega_S) \leq i - \ell.$$

Therefore

$$\dim \operatorname{Ext}_S^{n-i}(R_\Delta, \omega_S) \oplus \operatorname{Ext}_S^{n-i}(R\Delta', \omega_S) \leq i - \ell \quad \text{for all } i < d.$$

Suppose $R_{\Delta\cap\Delta'}$ is an equidimensional, $(S_{\ell-1})$ ring of dimension at least $d-1$. Then we also have

$$\dim \operatorname{Ext}_S^{n-(i-1)}(R_{\Delta\cap\Delta'}, \omega_S) \leq (i-1) - (\ell-1) = i - \ell \quad \text{for all } i < d.$$

Therefore,

$$\dim \operatorname{Ext}_S^{n-i}(R_{\Delta\cup\Delta'}, \omega_S) \leq i - \ell \quad \text{for all } i < d.$$

□

Thus, gluing any two (S_2) complexes along a pure subcomplex of dimension at least $d-2$ yields an (S_2) complex. In particular, gluing two (S_2) complexes along a facet yields an (S_2) complex.

6. Complexes Built by Gluing

In Section 3, we proved lower bounds for small n and d by constructing graphs with maximal diameter for the given parameters. In this section, we create lower bounds for large n and d by taking copies of the graphs from Section 3 and gluing them along a shared vertex. The graphs from Section 3 are facet-ridge graphs of (S_2) complexes. Thus, gluing along a vertex is equivalent to gluing the complexes along a facet. Therefore, by Theorem 5.2, gluing along a vertex yields a facet-ridge graph of an (S_2) complex.

Theorem 6.1. $\mu(4, 4k+4) \geq 6k$.

Proof. We construct a graph composed of k copies of the graph in Figure 6 by gluing the vertex $ABCD$ to the vertex $EFGH$. Each copy adds 6 to the diameter, since all adjacent vertices lie in the same copy of Figure 6. The new graph retains the locally connected property by Theorem 5.2. □

The complex in Figure 7 is an example when $k = 2$.

Thus, we have a lower bound of $\frac{3}{2}(n-d)$ when $n = 4k+4$ and $d = 4$.

Corollary 6.2. $\mu(4, 4k+4+j) \geq 6k+j$.

Proof. Start with the graph made of k copies of Figure 6. Then append the vertex $x_{6k-3}x_{6k-2}x_{6k-1}x_{6k+1}$. If $j \geq 2$ then append $x_{6k-2}x_{6k-1}x_{6k+1}x_{6k+2}$. If $j = 3$ then append $x_{6k-1}x_{6k+1}x_{6k+2}x_{6k+3}$. □

For the $d = 3$ case, we will consider three graphs: The graph G_0 from Figure 4, the graph G_1 from Figure 5, and the graph G_2, which is the graph G_1 with $\{I, J, K\}$ appended to the end.

Theorem 6.3. $\mu(3, 8k+2) \geq 10k-1$.

Proof. Construct a graph composed of $k-1$ copies of G_2 by gluing ABC to IJK. Then glue a copy of G_1 to the end. Each copy of G_2 adds 10 to diameter, since all adjacent vertices lie in the same copy of G_2. Gluing G_1 adds 9 to the diameter. The new graph retains the locally connected property by Theorem 5.2. □

This yields a lower bound of $\frac{5}{4}(n-d)$ when $n = 8k+2$, $d = 3$.

Corollary 6.4. $\mu(3, 8k+3+j) \geq 10k+j$.

Proof. Take the graph constructed in Theorem 6.3. Appended the vertices $\{n-2+i, n-1+i, n+i\}$ for $i = 1...j+1$. This will be a locally connected graph of diameter $10k+j$. □

Theorem 6.5. $\mu(3, 8k+3+j) \geq 10k+j+1$ *when* $4 \leq j \leq 6$.

Proof. Glue G_0 to $k-1$ copies of G_2. Then glue one copy of G_1. If $j \geq 5$ then append $\{n-1, n, n+1\}$. If $j = 6$, append $\{n, n+1, n+2\}$. This graph has diameter $10k+j+1$ and is locally connected by Theorem 5.2. □

Figure 8 depicts the case where $d = 3$, $n - d = 12$.

Remark 6.6. To construct a graph with $d \geq 4$, codim $= 4k$, and diameter $\frac{3}{2}(4k)$, begin with the construction for $d = 4$, $n = 4k+4$ given above. This construction has the desired diameter $\frac{3}{2}(4k)$. Add the new variables $x_{n+1}, ..., x_{n+(d-4)}$ to each vertex of this graph to generate the desired graph.



Figure 7



Figure 8

7. Final Remarks

These results raise several questions for further study. Primarily, is $\mu(d, n)$ bounded above by a polynomial in the codimension? A positive answer to this question would affirm the polynomial Hirsch conjecture. Our work still leaves the possibility that $\mu(d, n)$ is bounded by a linear function.

Another interesting question is, do the bounds of Larman [Lar70] and Barnette [Bar74] hold for $d \geq 4$? We have seen that the bounds of Larman and Barnette do not hold for our $d = 3$ case, however, we do not have counterexamples in any higher dimensions.

In this paper, we found graphs of maximal diameter for (S_2) Stanley-Reisner rings with $d = 3, 4$ and small n. We then used those graphs in conjunction with gluing to make graphs with large diameters with respect to codimension. It would be valuable to know what the largest diameter would be for graphs of (S_2) Stanley-Reisner rings with $d = 5, 6$ and small n, specifically, $d = 5, n = 10$ and $d = 6, n = 12$. Answers to these questions could lead to new asymptotic lower bounds and could give insight on how these bounds would grow with respect to d.

Acknowledgment

I would like to thank my adviser Hailong Dao for insight and mentoring. I would like to thank Vic Reiner, Sandra Spiroff, Chris Francisco, Ken Duna, and Bennet Goeckner for insightful conversations. I would also like to thank Jeremy Martin, Bruno Benedetti, Matteo Varbaro, and Margaret Bayer for helpful comments on this paper.

References

[AD74] Ian Adler and George Dantzig, *Maximum diameter of abstract polytopes*, Mathematical Programming Study **1** (1974), 20–40.

[Bar74] David Barnette, *An upper bound for the diameter of a polytope*, Discrete Math **10** (1974), 9–13.

[BBV15] Bruno Benedetti, Barbara Bolognese, and Matteo Varbaro, *Regulating hartshorne's connectedness theorem*, preprint, , `arXiv:1506.06277`.

[CS16] Francisco Criado and Francisco Santos, *The maximum diameter of pure simplicial complexes and pseudomanifolds*, preprint, , `1603.06238`.

[DHV16] Hailong Dao, Kangjin Han, and Matteo Varbaro, *The influence of serre's condition on the h-vector*, preprint.

[EHRR10] Friedrich Eisenbrand, Nicolai Hähnle, Alexander Razborov, and Thomas Rothvoß, *Diameter of polyhedra: limits of abstraction*, Mathematics of Operations Research **35** (2010), 786–794.

[FMS14] Christopher A. Francisco, Jeffrey Mermin, and Jay Schweig, *A survery of stanley-reisner theory*, Connections between Algebra, Combinatorics, and Geometry (2014), 209–234.

[HH94] Melvin Hochster and Craig Huneke, *Indecomposable canonical modules and connectedness*, Contemp. Math **159** (1994), 197–208.

[HK98] Fred Holt and Victor Klee, *Many polytopes meeting the conjectured hirsch bound*, Discrete Comput. Geom. **20** (1998), 1–17.

[Kal92] Gil Kalai, *Upper bounds for the diameter and height of graphs of convex polyhedra*, Discrete Comput. Geom. **8** (192), 363–372.

[KW67] Victor Klee and David Walkup, *The d-step conjecture for polyhedra of dimension $d < 6$*, Acta Math **133** (1967), 53–78.

[Lar70] David Larman, *Paths on polytopes*, Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society **20** (1970), 161–178.

[San11] Francisco Santos, *A counterexample to the hirsch conjecture*, Annals of Mathematics **176** (2011), no. 1, 383–412.

[San13] ———, *Recent progress on the combinatorial diameter of polytopes and simplicial complexes*, TOP **21** (2013), no. 3, 426–460.

[Vas05] Wolmer Vasconcelos, *Integral closure: Rees algebras, multiplicities, algorithms*, Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg, 2005.

[Wei94] Charles Weibel, *An introduction to homological algebra*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England, 1994.

[Yan00] Kohji Yanagawa, *Alexander duality for stanley-reisner rings and square-free* $\mathbb{N}^n$*-graded modules*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **146** (2000), no. 3, 630–645.

Department of Mathematics, University of Kansas, Lawrence, KS 66045-7523 USA
E-mail address: brentholmes@ku.edu